Di (Nama, office) symbol, resym mumber,	Initials	Date					ROU	TING SLIP		
ETHFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		: .		то	. \square		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	`					DCI		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
DDA/MS						DDCI	ļ			
	_ ' ·					EXDIR		X	 	+
			1.			D/ICS	X		 	
					5	DDI		X		+
					نوي	DDA DDO	 	· X ·	 	+
						DDS&T	ļ	X	+	+
Accepted For Clearance	Note and Retu						 	^	+	+
As Requested For Correction	Prepare Reply			1.	10		 		 	
Circulate XX For Your Information	See Me				10		+		+	1
Consent Investigate	Signature					Compt	-		†	
Coordination Justify	Ц		• • •		L	D/Pers	1			
MEMARKS						D/OLL	<u> </u>		1	
						D/PAO	1			
	•		• .			SA/IA	2			
CC: D/OS			*		17		1			
≥ ce: 0/05 5/0€					18	C/IPD/OIS				
	•	•		-	19		15			
					20					
	•		# * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		21			1		
			1		22					
			3.7	1		SUSPENSE		Date		
DO MOT use this farm as a RECORD of approvals, glearances, and similar action	concurrences, c	Sisposels,	$\frac{3}{2}$	Remar T o		Your re	commend	ation	please.	· · ·
of a Control of the C	Room No	-Bidg.								tive Secretory

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/03/18 : CIA-RDP88G00186R000901070024-3



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

1414/2

Serial: N0923 3 May 1985

> DD/A Registry 85-1188/Z

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: Supercomputing Research Center (SRC) (U) - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

- l. (U) Please reference your memorandum, subject as above, dated 3 April 1985. As you suggested, we are structuring our FY 1986 programs in such a way that some additional funding for the SRC could be provided through reprogramming procedures.
- 2. (C) We have also re-examined the facts associated with the \$150 million add-on provided by the Defense Resources Board. These funds were not solely for computer security as indicated in your memorandum, but were allocated as follows:

25**X**1

Our understanding on this allocation, like yours, was that some of these funds could be diverted to the SRC. Indeed, during the FY 1986 budget build we used a portion of the COMSEC allocation for this purpose. The DoD budget decision document severely criticized this attempted diversion and disallowed it.

3. (C) The SRC was not supported by the Department of Defense because it was unclear to some how the DoD would benefit from the effort. The ASD ${\rm C^3I}$, however, was supportive during last year's budget review, is now supportive, and has initiated action to educate the DoD on the benefits of participating in the development of the SRC. The ASD ${\rm C^3I}$ has further indicated that he expects the DoD to become a full

Classified By NSA/CSSM 123-2

Declassify On: Originating Acceptable Programmed

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Serial: N0923

financial partner in FY 1987. It should also be noted that a mid-term objective in the recently received FY 1987-1991 Defense Guidance concerns providing appropriate DoD support for the SRC. We view these efforts favorably and we continue to solicit your support in obtaining at least \$10 million of the FY 1986 shortfall from other NFIP programs in the year of execution in order to keep the SRC effort on track.

ROBERT E. RICH Deputy Director

Copy Furnished: Dir, ICS ASD(C³I)